

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	АТТО	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,390	10/13/2005	Jurgen Huber		466/43959	7075	
23646 7590 08/08/2007 BARNES & THORNBURG LLP				EXAMINER		
750-17TH STR SUITE 900	EET NW		<u> </u>	HSIAO, JAMES K		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-4675				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3683		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				08/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/533,390	HUBER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James K. Hsiao	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 October 2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 7 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) X Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/13/2005.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear as to what the phrase, "fraction of a maximally achievable braking force has been generated." What is meant by this? Is it describing a ABS operation? What is the maximum? What is a fraction of the maximum?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Emilsson (US-4575159).

Regarding claim 1, Emilsson discloses a brake device having an actuator comprising: a brake cylinder and a piston in and dividing the brake cylinder into an application pressure chamber and a release pressure chamber (fig 5); an overflow valve connecting the two pressure chambers (28), the overflow valve being opened at least

Art Unit: 3683

during a portion of both transition phases between the application position and the release position until essentially a pressure balance exists between the two pressure chambers and is otherwise closed (col. 7, lines 5-20); and ventilation and bleeder valves connected to the two chambers for pressurizing and bleeding the two pressure chambers, the ventilation and bleeder valves are closed during the opening time of the overflow valve, and a pressure buildup or a pressure reduction exceeding the pressure balance in the two pressure chambers takes place by opening or closing the ventilation and bleeder valves (fig 5).

Regarding claim 2, Emilsson discloses wherein the piston is spring loaded (16) in the direction of the application position (fig 5).

Regarding claims 4 and 7(as best understood), Emilsson discloses wherein the overflow valve is opened until a fraction of a maximally achievable braking force or releasing force has been generated (col. 7).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beck, Kobald, Egerton, Harding, Harrison, and Matsuki all disclose spring applied braking systems but were not relied upon in the above rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James K. Hsiao whose telephone number is 571-272-6259. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,390

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

DEVON C. KRANE

PATENT EXAMINED

WAY

8/2/91

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JKH